

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/606,791	WU ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Hadi Akhavannik	2624	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Hadi Akhavannik.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Steve Rabin (29102).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 11 August 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*rejection of claims 2 and 5*

**Claims discussed:**

*claims 1 2 and 5*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner informed applicant to ammend claims 2 and 5 to overcome clarity issues. Applicant informed the Examiner that he would prefer to have action mailed.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**JOSEPH MANCUSO**

**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)